Health and Social Care Integration Directorate
Geoff Huggins, Director
T: 0131-244 3210
E: geoff.huggins@gov.scot

COSLA
Paula McLeay, Chief Officer Health and Social Care
T: 0131-474 9257
E: paula@cosla.gov.uk

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

COSLA

To: Chief Officers – Integration Authorities

19 January 2017

Dear Colleagues

MEASURING PERFORMANCE UNDER INTEGRATION

The Ministerial Strategic Group for Health and Community Care (MSG) discussed how to measure progress under integration at its meetings on 16 November and 21 December.

At the meeting on 21 December MSG agreed that for 2017/18 we will track across Integration Authorities:

(1) unplanned admissions;
(2) occupied bed days for unscheduled care;
(3) A&E performance;
(4) delayed discharges;
(5) end of life care; and
(6) the balance of spend across institutional and community services.

You are each invited to set out your local objectives for each of the indicators for 2017/18 by the end of February. MSG has agreed that it will receive a quarterly overview on progress across the whole system and you are asked to produce your objectives on that basis. We are meeting with the Executive Group of Chief Officers on Friday and will discuss what national support you would want us to offer for this process. Our objective will be to adapt and use existing data collection methodologies where possible and to establish a clear process for the work.

When we met on 16 December we had indicated that as a minimum we would provide data for each partnership covering each of the indicators. The data would show the position for all partnerships to enable individual Integration Authorities to understand the shape and

nature of their service relative to others. We are still working on the structure and format of that data. For now, we attach high level data covering a number of the areas (**Annex A**). Again we would intend to use the conversation on Friday to discuss the structure and format of the data with the intention of writing shortly after to all Chief Officers with the necessary material.

MSG noted that the approach for future years may change as a consequence of the Review into Targets and Indicators being undertaken by Sir Harry Burns and also as data sources for particular areas of service delivery improvement. It also noted that most key service delivery areas under integration have a direct impact on these higher level system indicators. In particular, it is important that we are able to understand both the contribution of social care and primary care services to these higher level system indicators, but also how they support important outcomes in respect of independent living and the protection and maintenance of health.

Local partnerships are already using a wide range of data to support their commissioning and delivery activity and will continue to operate under the duties in the 2014 Act in respect of public reporting. This process is not intended to duplicate or substitute for that process.

The Local Delivery Plan (LDP) Guidance for 2017/18 has been issued to NHS Chief Executives and sets the expectation that Boards and regional planning partnerships ensure that their objectives and plans are consistent with Integration Authority plans. Similarly, given the interaction with the hospital system you will need to ensure that your objectives and plans are consistent with NHS Board and regional plans for 2017/18.

Yours sincerely

GEOFF HUGGINS
Scottish Government

PAULA McLEAY
COSLA

Unplanned Bed Days



Notes: This chart shows the unplanned bed days per capita for people aged 75+ for each partnership (in 2015/16). It is for unplanned bed days in all specialties and differentiates between the bed days used by delayed patients and other non-delayed bed days. A total of 2.5m bed days were used by people age 75+ of which 400k were by delayed patients, an average of 16% of the total bed days for this age group and varying across partnerships from 3.4% to 42%. There is a two-fold variation in the overall bed day rates across partnerships and a 12 fold variation in delayed bed

day rates. There is no association between delayed bed day rates and overall bed day rates. We can develop this analysis to include other age groups and to differentiate between specialties and type of delay.

Unplanned admissions



Notes: This chart shows the unplanned admissions per person aged 75+ in all specialties in 2015/16. We can see that the two fold variation seen in the bed days chart is evident here, although there is some slight re-ordering which is to be expected as bed day rates are a function of admission rates and length of stay. We can develop this analysis to consider different age groups and specialties.

Delayed Discharge Census: Standard Delays > 3 days by type of delay



Notes: this chart shows the number of delays by type of across all partnerships. These figures exclude family reasons. There is considerable variation across partnerships. There are also differences in the main reason for delays. For example while care home and home care are key reasons for some partnerships, Code 9 categories appear to be the main reason for others

End of Life (a)



Notes: This chart shows the proportion of the last six months of life spent at home or in a community setting for people who died in 2015/16. There is a difference of 10% across partnerships. We can develop this analysis by considering different age groups and by differentiating between settings.

End of Life (b)



Notes: This chart shows the average unplanned bed days in the last six months of life for people who died in 2015/16. There is a two- fold variation across partnerships. If all Scottish partnerships could attain the same bed days per decedent as Shetland, half a million bed days could be saved-equivalent to the 10% commitment in the Delivery Plan.

Balance of Care



Notes: This chart looks at balance of care for people aged 75+ and shows the proportion of partnership populations aged 75+ who were either in hospital, in a care home or in receipt of 10+ hours home care in 2014/15. There is an almost two-fold variation (8% c/w 15%). Across Scotland, 8.5% of people aged 75+ were either a care home or hospital varying from 5.9% to 10.7% across partnerships. We can develop this analysis to include other age groups and to reflect the balance of care as a spectrum of settings; we can also look at spend across the spectrum.

A&E (a) : **A&E attendance rate per 1,000 population by Partnership 2015/16**



Notes: this shows the attendance rate at A&E per 1000 population by Partnership. There is considerable variation between Partnerships –370 per 1000 population in Inverclyde while 102 attendees per 1000 population in Aberdeenshire (Scotland – 280 per 1000). The difference is likely to reflect a range of issues including demographic factors, proximity of population to A&E facility as well as other healthcare provision .

A&E % seen within 4 hours



Notes: This chart shows performance on the 4 hour wait target by partnership. There is a difference of 11% between the highest performing area and the lowest performing area. The Scotland average is 93%. We can also provide A&E data on conversion rate- eg the proportion of A&E attendances which result in admission to hospital